

Properties of higher K-theory

Goal of the talk: as in the title, the goal is to present the main properties of K-theory, and some of their applications

Sections of the talk:

1) Additivity $\rightarrow$ for Waldhausen and exact cat.

2) Resolution $\leadsto$ for exact cat.

3) Devissage $\rightarrow$ for abelian cat. ($\leadsto$ Quillen const. for exact cat: talk of Louis)

4) Localization

Elementary properties of $K(A)$

for A exact category

Recall: (from Laissa's talk)

- given an exact category $\mathcal{C}$ construct a category $\mathcal{Q}A$

and apply $B: \text{Cat} \xrightarrow[\text{nerve}]{N} \text{Set} \xrightarrow[\text{geometric realization}]{|\cdot|} \text{Top}$ classifying space

to get the CW complex BQA

then define $KA := \Omega BQA$ as the loop space of this CW complex

and $K_n(A) := \pi_n(KA)$

Remark:

- an exact functor $A \rightarrow B$ induces a map $KA \rightarrow KB$ $\rightarrow$ sends exact seq. to exact seq.

$$KA \rightarrow KB$$

- $K(A)$ has an H-space structure because it

is a loop space

topological space
with an operation
and neutral element
up to homotopy

Graded ring structure on $K_*(R)$ and $K_*(X)$

$F(A, -): \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ & $F(-, B): \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ are exact functors

$F(A, 0) = F(0, B) = 0$ (0 stands for the distinguished zero objects of $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}$)

A bifunctor $F(-, -): \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ induces bilinear maps:

$$K_i(\mathcal{A}) \times K_j(\mathcal{B}) \longrightarrow K_{i+j}(\mathcal{C})$$

In particular, if there is an associative pairing $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$, then $K_*(\mathcal{A})$ becomes a graded ring.

Examples:

K -theory of a commutative ring

$$K(R) = K(\mathcal{P}(R)) \quad R \text{ comm. ring, } \mathcal{P}(R) \text{ cat. of f.g. proj } R\text{-modules}$$

$$\hookrightarrow \text{Tensor product } \mathcal{P}(R) \times \mathcal{P}(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(R)$$

makes $K_*(R)$ a graded commutative ring with unit

K -theory of a scheme

$$K(X) = K(\mathcal{V}\mathcal{B}(X)) \quad X \text{ scheme, } \mathcal{V}\mathcal{B}(X) \text{ cat. of vector bundles on } X$$

$$\hookrightarrow \text{tensor product } \mathcal{V}\mathcal{B}(X) \times \mathcal{V}\mathcal{B}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}\mathcal{B}(X)$$

§1. Additivity

↳ Same statement for Waldhausen and exact categories

Idea: given a short exact sequence of exact functors $F' \rightarrow F \rightarrow F''$
then the induced maps on the K -groups satisfy $F_* = F'_* + F''_*$

Def: (s.e.s. of exact functors)

(a) If $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ are exact categories,

the sequence $F' \rightarrow F \rightarrow F''$ of exact functors $F, F', F'': \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$

is a short exact sequence of exact functors if

$$0 \rightarrow F'(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow F(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow F''(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow 0$$
 is an

exact sequence in $\mathcal{C}$ for every $\mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{B}$.

And we denote it: $F' \twoheadrightarrow F \twoheadrightarrow F''$

(b) If $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ are Waldhausen categories, we say that

$F' \twoheadrightarrow F \twoheadrightarrow F''$ is a short exact sequence or
fibration sequence

of exact functors if:

- $F'(\mathcal{B}) \twoheadrightarrow F(\mathcal{B}) \twoheadrightarrow F''(\mathcal{B})$ is a fibration sequence in $\mathcal{C}$, $\forall \mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{B}$

- $\forall A \twoheadrightarrow B$ fibration in $\mathcal{B}$, the map $F(A) \cup_{F'(A)} F'(B) \twoheadrightarrow F(B)$

is a fibration in $\mathcal{C}$

Thm: (Additivity theorem)

Let $F' \rightarrow F \rightarrow F''$ be a short exact sequence of exact functors from $\mathcal{B}$ to $\mathcal{C}$

Then $F_* \simeq F'_* + F''_*$ or H -space maps $K(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow K(\mathcal{C})$.
homotopic

Therefore on the homotopy groups we have

$$F_* = F'_* + F''_* : K_i(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow K_i(\mathcal{C})$$

We can extend this result also to
admissibly exact sequences

i.e. $0 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow F_n \rightarrow 0$
s.t. $\bullet$ we have decompositions $F_i \rightarrow F_{i+1} \quad \forall i$
 $\searrow \quad \nearrow$
 G_i

$\bullet G_i \rightarrow F_i \rightarrow G_{i+1}$ is exact

Corollary (Additivity for long exact sequences)

If

$$0 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow F_n \rightarrow 0$$

is an admissibly exact sequence of exact functors $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, then

$$\sum (-1)^p F_*^p = 0 \quad \text{or maps} \\ K_i(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow K_i(\mathcal{C})$$

Applications: PROJECTIVE BUNDLE THM

Let E be a vector bundle of rank $r+1$ over a quasi projective scheme X .

Consider the projective bundle $\mathbb{P}(E) \xrightarrow{\pi} X$, and the exact functors

$$u_i: VB(X) \rightarrow VB(\mathbb{P}(E))$$

$$N \mapsto \pi^*(N)(-i)$$

Then

$K_0(\mathbb{P}(E))$ is a free $K_0(X)$ -module with basis the twisting line bundles $\{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}(-i)\}_{i=0}^r$.

In particular, if E is the trivial bundle $\leadsto$

$$\text{then } K_0(\mathbb{P}_X^r) \cong \frac{K_0(X)[z]}{(z^{r+1})}$$

Thm: (Projective bundle thm) $\rightarrow$ (gives a relation between the K -theory of a projective scheme and K -theory of a projective bundle on it.)

Let X and E as above.

Then the u_i induce an homotopy equivalence,

$$K(X)^{r+1} \simeq K(\mathbb{P}(E))$$

Thus $K_*(X) \otimes_{K_0(X)} K_0(\mathbb{P}(E)) \cong K_*(\mathbb{P}(E))$ as rings

Special case: if E is the trivial bundle $\leadsto \mathbb{P}(E) = \mathbb{P}_X^n$, then

$$K_*(\mathbb{P}_X^n) \cong \frac{K_*(X)[z]}{(z^{r+1})} \quad \text{as rings}$$

idea of the proof:

- We would like to apply the direct image functor π_* to a vector bundle and get a vector bundle, this is not always true,

↳ need the following definition:

Hartshorne regular vector bundles

Def: A quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}$ -module $\mathcal{F}$ is called Hartshorne-regular if $\forall q > 0$, the higher derived sheaves $R^q \pi_* \mathcal{F}(-q)$ vanish.

Notation: HR is the set of H -R vector bundles

- Quillen's Resolution then

no use Additivity then

Resolution :

ides: gives an exam. how the K -groups of an exact cat. and of the K -groups of a subcategory in the case where objects in the bigger category admit a finite resolution by objects in the subcategory

Def : (II.7.0.1 pag 141)

Let $\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}$ be exact categories, $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{C}$ full subcategory

- We say that $\mathcal{B}$ is a full exact subcategory of $\mathcal{C}$ if the exact sequences in $\mathcal{B}$ are precisely the sequences in $\mathcal{B}$ which are exact in $\mathcal{C}$ (equivalently, if the functor $\mathcal{B} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is exact)

- We say that $\mathcal{B}$ is closed under kernels of admissible surjections in $\mathcal{C}$ if whenever $A \twoheadrightarrow B \twoheadrightarrow C$ in $\mathcal{C}$ is an exact sequence with $B, C \in \mathcal{B}$ then A is also in $\mathcal{B}$

Why do we need to add this?

Thm : (3.1) (Resolution theorem for exact categories)

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be an exact cat. and $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{H}$ a full exact subcategory s.t.

- $\mathcal{P}$ is closed under extensions in $\mathcal{H}$
- $\mathcal{P}$ is closed under kernels of admissible surjections in $\mathcal{H}$
- $\forall M \in \mathcal{H}$ has a finite $\mathcal{P}$ -resolution:

$$0 \rightarrow P_n \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

Then

$$K(\mathcal{P}) \cong K(\mathcal{H}) \quad (\text{homotopy equivalence})$$

$$\Rightarrow K_i(\mathcal{P}) \cong K_i(\mathcal{H}) \quad \forall i \quad (\text{isom.},$$

Applications to resolution thm:

Corollary:

If R is a Noeth. regular ring, then

$$K_i(R) \cong G_i(R) \quad \forall i$$

proof:

recall the definitions:

• $K(R) = K(\mathcal{P}(R))$ where $\mathcal{P}(R)$ is the cat. of finitely gen. projective R -modules

• $G(R) = K(R\text{-mod})$ where $R\text{-mod}$ is the cat. of finitely gen. R -modules

Key fact:

• R regular $\Rightarrow$ every f.g. R -mod has a finite projective resolution

then apply Resolution Thm to $\underbrace{R\text{-mod} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(R)}$.

Remark: (see Lemma II.7.7.1 to see why $\mathcal{K}(R)$ is closed under kernel of surjections in $R\text{-mod}$)

↪ for schemes:

Con:

If X is a separated regular Noeth. scheme

then

$$K(X) \cong G(X)$$

proof: apply resolution thm to

$$VB(X) \subseteq \text{Coh}(X)$$

↑
vector
bundles
on X

use Thm $\left[\begin{array}{l} X \text{ separated Noeth. regular scheme} \\ \Rightarrow \forall \mathcal{F} \in \text{Coh}(X) \text{ has a finite resolution} \\ \text{by vector bundles} \end{array} \right.$

Bmk: (DA CAPIRE UGLIO)

This does not hold for non separated regular noeth. schemes.

↳ X is the affine line with double origin over $\mathbb{A}^1$ field.

↙ $G_0(X) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$

↘ $K_0(X) = \mathbb{Z}$

f3. Devissage:

→ for abelian categories

(why not for exact categories?)

↳ because we need quotients

(idea: isom. b/w K -groups of an ab. cat. and an ab. subcat. provided that every obj has a finite filtration with quotients in the subcat.)

Thm: (V.4.1)

Let $i: A \subset B$ be an inclusion of abelian categories s.t.

- A is an exact abelian subcategory of B
 ↳ difference between this and exact subcat.? ↳ this means exact subcat. which is abelian
- A is closed in B under subobjects and quotients

Suppose also that every object B of B has a finite filtration

$$0 = B_r \subset \dots \subset B_2 \subset B_1 = B \quad \text{of objects in } B$$

s.t. every (sub)quotient B_i/B_{i+1} lies in A

then $K(A) \simeq K(B)$ homotopy equivalence

$$\text{and } K_*(A) \cong K_*(B) \quad \text{isom. as rings}$$

↑
why?

Do ab. cats always have an associative pairing $A \times A \rightarrow A$?

Applications to Devissage:

↳ 2 applications

①

Corollary (4.2) (G-theory of a ring doesn't see nilpotent elements)

If I is a nilpotent ideal in a Noeth. ring R , then

$$G(R/I) \cong G(R)$$

$$\Rightarrow G_*(R/I) \cong G_*(R)$$

proof?

Devissage applies to (R/I) -mod $\stackrel{(\text{f.g.})}{\subset} R$ -mod $\stackrel{(\text{f.g.})}{\subset}$

because every f.g. R -module M has a finite

filtration by submodules $M I^k$

(if $I^n = 0$,

$$0 = M I^n \subset M I^{n-1} \subset \dots \subset M I \subset M$$

is a finite filtr. of M with quotients $\frac{M I^k}{M I^{k+1}}$

finite R/I -modules

□

→ scheme version:

Corollary: X Noetherian scheme, then

$$G(X_{\text{red}}) \cong G(X)$$

(2)

Corollary (4.4.) [R-modules with support]

R Noeth. ring, $I \subset R$ ideal, and

$\mathcal{H}_I(R) \subset R\text{-mod}$ be the ab. subcat. of all M s.t. $MI^n = 0$ for some n , then

$$G_*(R/I) \cong K_*(\mathcal{H}_I(R))$$

proof: ^{want to apply} Devissage to $\mathcal{H}(R/I) \subset \mathcal{H}_I(R)$
 inclusion given by restriction of scalars

if $M \in \mathcal{H}_I(R)$, then the filtration

$$M \supset MI \supset MI^2 \supset \dots \supset MI^n = 0$$

is a finite filtration and the quotients $\frac{MI^k}{MI^{k+1}}$ are (R/I) -modules. $\square$

The analogous result for schemes is the following:

Corollary: (K-theory of sheaves with support)
 on a closed subscheme

Let $Z \subset X$ be a closed subscheme of a Noeth. scheme, then

$$G(Z) = K(\text{Coh}(Z)) \cong K(\text{Coh}_Z(X))$$

coherent sheaves on X with support on Z

proof: by Devissage on $\text{Coh}(Z) \subset \text{Coh}_Z(X)$

4.) Localization:

Def: Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a small abelian category

We say that $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}$ is a Serre subcategory if it is an abelian subcategory closed under subobjects, quotients, and extensions.

i.e. if $0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow D \rightarrow 0$ exact in $\mathcal{A}$, then

$$C \in \mathcal{B} \iff B, D \in \mathcal{B}$$

In this case, you can define a quotient abelian category $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{B}$ as the category with

objs: objects of $\mathcal{A}$

morph: morphisms $A_1 \rightarrow A_2$ are equivalence classes of diagrams $A_1 \xleftarrow{f} A' \xrightarrow{g} A_2$ in $\mathcal{A}$

where f is a $\mathcal{B}$ -iso, i.e. $\ker(f)$ and $\operatorname{coker}(f)$ are in $\mathcal{B}$

Such morphism is equivalent to

$$A_1 \xleftarrow{f'} A'' \xrightarrow{g'} A_2 \iff \exists \text{ a commutative diagram}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & A' & & \\ & \swarrow & \uparrow & \searrow & \\ A_1 & \xleftarrow{f'} & A & \xrightarrow{g'} & A_2 \\ & \nwarrow & \downarrow & \nearrow & \\ & & A'' & & \end{array}$$

where
 $A \rightarrow A'$
and
 $A \rightarrow A''$
are $\mathcal{B}$ -isos

Thm 9: (Abelian Localization Theorem)

Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a Serre subcategory of a small abelian category $\mathcal{A}$. Then

$$K(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow K(\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{L} K(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{B})$$

is a homotopy fibration sequence.

Thus, there is a long exact seq. of homotopy groups.

$$\cdots \rightarrow K_{n+1}(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{B}) \xrightarrow{\partial} K_n(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow K_n(\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{L} K_n(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{B}) \xrightarrow{\partial} \cdots$$

ending in $K_0(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow K_0(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow K_0(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow 0$

Remark:

R Noeth. $\Rightarrow R\text{-mod}$ is an ab. cat.

X Noeth. $\Rightarrow \text{Coh}(X)$ is an ab. cat.

Applications:

Corollary (Example 6.11): [Localization sequence for the G -theory of schemes]

X Noeth. scheme

$j: U \hookrightarrow X$ open subscheme, $Z = X \setminus U$

then

$$G(Z) \rightarrow G(X) \rightarrow G(U)$$

is a homotopy fibration

$\Rightarrow$ there is a l.e.s. on the homotopy groups:

$$\cdots \rightarrow G_n(Z) \rightarrow G_n(X) \xrightarrow{j_*} G_n(U) \rightarrow G_{n+1}(Z) \rightarrow \cdots$$

proof:

Apply the localization thm to

$$\mathcal{A} = \text{Coh}(X) \quad \mathcal{B} = \text{Coh}_Z(X)$$

Fact: $\frac{\text{Coh}(X)}{\text{Coh}_Z(X)} = \text{Coh}(U)$

By Devissage: $K(\text{Coh}_Z(X)) \cong G(Z)$

Thm (Thomason - Trobough)

X q.c., q.s. scheme $U \xrightarrow[\text{q.c. open}]{\subset} X$ $Z = X \setminus U$

$$\Rightarrow K(X \text{ on } Z) \rightarrow K(X) \xrightarrow{j^*} K(U)$$

is an homology fibration

• $K(X \text{ on } Z) = K(\underbrace{\text{Chperf}, Z(X)}_{\substack{\text{Waldhausen cat.} \\ \text{of perfect complexes} \\ \text{on } X \text{ which are exact on } U}})$

quasi iso up
to bounded
complexes